IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL.

WRIT PETITION NO. 1920 OF 2005 (S/S)

Jitendra Kumar ..... Petitioner.

Vs.

1. State of Uttaranchal through
   Secretary Education (Basic)
   Uttaranchal, Dehradun.
2. Director, Basic Education,
   Uttaranchal, Dehradun.
3. Basic Siksha Adhikari,
   Distt. Udham Singh Nagar..... Respondents.

December 20,2005

Sri M.C. Pant, learned counsel for the petitioner.
Sri Paresh Tripathi, Standing Counsel for the State.

Hon'ble Rajesh Tandon, J.

1. Heard Mr. M. C. Pant, Advocate, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Paresh Tripathi, Advocate, learned counsel for the State. Both the parties have prayed that let the writ petition be disposed of at the admission stage.

2. The petitioner has confined his prayer only with regard to the writ mandamus directing the respondents to consider the representation of the petitioner for the appointment of P. T. Teacher in any of the Junior High School mentioned in Annexure 3 to the writ petition.

3. According to the case of the petitioner, in pursuance of the advertisement dated 11.02.2001 for conducting the entrance test for admission in Diploma in Physical Education (D.P.Ed), the petitioner has applied. He has completed his diploma in Physical Education from Government Physical Training College, Rampur. According

to him, a number of P. T. teachers are required as will appear from the Advertisement Dated 30.09.2005 published in 'Dainik Jagran', AT present the petitioner has not applied anywhere. As such it is not possible to grant any relief to the petitioner and, therefore, no relief can be granted for appointment as a P.T. Teacher at present.

4. However, the petitioner has confined his prayer for disposal of his representation dated 04.10.2005. Without entering into the merits of the case, the respondents are directed to consider the representation of the petitioner within a period for six weeks from the date of production of the certified copy of this order.

5. In view to above, the petition is disposed of with the direction to the respondents to consider and dispose of the representation of the petitioner as directed above. Subject to above, writ petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

(Rajesh Tandon, J.)

A